



# THE CDLA UPDATE

## COLORADO SUPREME COURT

**State v Juul**—*Supreme Court reverses finding of personal jurisdiction* - 2022CO46 (9/26/22) The district court’s denied defendants Adam Bowen, James Monsees, Nicholas Pritzker, and Riaz Valani’ s motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Defendants are California residents who served in various capacities as officers or directors of JUUL Labs, Inc., an e-cigarette manufacturer, or its predecessor companies. The court concluded that that because (1) the district court based its determination on allegations directed against JUUL and the group of defendants as a whole, rather than on an individualized assessment of each defendant’s actions, and (2) the State did not allege sufficient facts to establish either that defendants were primary participants in wrongful conduct that they purposefully directed at Colorado, or that the injuries alleged in the amended complaint arose out of or related to defendants’ Colorado-directed activities, the district court erred in finding that the State had made a prima facie showing of personal jurisdiction in this matter.

## COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS

**Woo v. Baez**—2022COA113 (09/29/22). Plaintiff appealed the judgment dismissing his claims against defendants Jose Angel Baez and Michelle Medina for lack of personal jurisdiction and his claims against defendant Richard Bednarski due to Woo’s failure to file a certificate of review. The Court of Appeals reversed the jurisdiction dismissal as to the claims against Baez and Medina because the district court erred by denying substituted service upon the attorney representing them in a regulatory action. The



### QUICK LINKS

[People v. Johnson](#)

[People v. Romero](#)

[DiPietro v Coldiron](#)

[Home Improvement, Inc. v. Villar](#)

[Atlas Biologicals v. Biowest](#)

Court also rejected the unconstitutional ‘as applied’ challenge to the certificate of review statute, finding because Woo could not comply with the statute solely because he was indigent, the challenge to its constitutionality failed.

**People v. Johnson**—*Court of Appeals adopts per se approach to race-based juror challenges* - 2022COA118 (10/13/22) The Court of Appeals held that when the proponent of a peremptory challenge offers both a race-based and a race-neutral explanation in response to a Batson challenge, the trial court must apply the “per se” approach and uphold the challenge because once a discriminatory reason has been offered, this reason taints the entire jury selection process. Applying that approach here, the division reversed the defendant’s convictions and remands for a new trial. Because it may arise on remand, the division addresses, and rejects, the challenge to the admission of the generalized expert testimony about common features of domestic violence relationships even though some of those features “had no logical connection” to the facts of the case.

**People v. Romero**—*Court of Appeals reverses conviction because record as to reason for pre-emptory challenge was not contained in record* - 2022COA119 (10/13/22) A division of the court of appeals considers whether the trial court’s ultimate ruling denying a Batson challenge was clear error. The majority examined whether anything in the record supported the trial court’s decision to credit the prosecution’s proffered race-neutral reason (that the juror appeared disinterested) for the peremptory challenge. The majority concluded that the trial court’s ruling was clear error because (1) there was nothing in the record supporting the trial court’s

decision to credit the prosecution’s subjective assessment that the juror appeared disinterested, not even an identification of the juror’s behavior that led the prosecution to believe he was disinterested; and (2) other parts of the record tended to undermine the credibility of the prosecution’s assessment that the juror appeared disinterested. The majority therefore reversed the judgment of conviction and remands for retrial. The dissent disagrees.

**DiPietro v Coldiron**—*Attorney client privilege records not subject to disclosure under Open Records Act* - 2022COA121 (10/13/22) In this C.A.R. 4.2 interlocutory appeal, The Court of Appeals considered as a matter of first impression whether records protected by the attorney-client privilege, or the deliberative process privilege are nevertheless subject to disclosure to a “person in interest” under the Colorado Open Records Act. The division concluded that they are not subject to disclosure under the plain language of section 24-72-204(3)(a).

**Home Improvement, Inc. v. Villar No.**—*Court of Appeals addresses the meaning of service at a last known address* - 2022COA129 (11/03/22). A division of the court of appeals defined for the first time “address” and “last known address” as those terms are used for purposes of service of process by mail or publication in and in rem proceeding. Rule 4(g) permits service by mail or publication under certain circumstances. A verified motion seeking service by mail or publication must state “the address, or last known address” of the person to be served. For service by mail, a copy of the process must be sent by registered or certified mail to such address and a signed return receipt is required

before service is complete. Return of the mailing establishes that the address is not the last known address. Thus, “address” is the place at which a party generally recognizes that another party can be communicated with, and “last known address” is the most recent such place.

#### TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

**Atlas Biologicals v. Biowest, et al.**—Docket: 20-1401 (10/11/22). Plaintiff-Appellee Atlas Biologicals, Inc. sued its former employee Thomas Kutrubes for various federal intellectual-property claims. Kutrubes, seemingly as an attempt to thwart Atlas’s ability to collect a likely judgment against him, transferred his 7% ownership interest in Atlas to Atlas’s rival Defendant-Appellant Biowest, LLC (“Biowest”). Once Atlas found out about this alleged transfer, it sought a writ of attachment in the district court against Kutrubes’s interest in Atlas, which the district court granted. But in granting the writ, the district court explained that it did not know what interest Kutrubes still had in Atlas and raised the idea of Atlas filing a separate declaratory judgment action. Atlas did so, and that action was the lawsuit before the Tenth Circuit in this appeal. The question for the Court was whether the district court properly found in favor of Atlas in this action in light of the fact that it did not have an independent source of federal jurisdiction to decide the question of state law that the action presented—a question that implicated a third party not involved in the initial suit. Reviewing these matters de novo, the Tenth Circuit concluded the district court acted properly and within the scope of its jurisdiction and agreed with the district court’s resolution of the merits.

## SPONSOR SPOTLIGHT

## Exponent®

With over 90 scientific and engineering disciplines, Exponent's staff of approximately 900, located in 20 offices throughout the nation and 5 international offices, combines unparalleled technical expertise with the ability, when necessary, to focus this knowledge in extremely short time frames. Our multidisciplinary team of engineers, scientists and regulatory consultants will perform either in-depth scientific research and analysis, or very rapid-response evaluations, to provide our clients with the critical information that both day-to-day and strategic decisions can require.

[exponent.com](http://exponent.com)

## VERTEX®

VERTEX is an international consulting firm with experts that meet the needs of the most demanding challenges. When you've looked at a challenge from every angle, you know you've covered every base. The insights we offer are the result of rigorous analysis coupled with the real-world experience of our team of architects, engineers, construction professionals, environmental scientists, and forensic accountants. From advisory

and management services to claims and litigation support through expert testimony, put VERTEX's experts to work for you.

[vertexeng.com](http://vertexeng.com)

## NELSON FORENSICS

Nelson Forensics is a multi-discipline investigation and consulting firm specializing in forensic engineering (architectural, civil, structural, mechanical and electrical), forensic architecture, chemistry and environmental science, and cost estimating. With licensed and registered experts nationwide, Nelson Forensics offers unparalleled support to the insurance and legal arenas. Please visit our website or call us at 877-850-8765.

[nelsonforensics.com](http://nelsonforensics.com)

## VDI Vocational Diagnostics Incorporated

Vocational Diagnostics, Inc. is the authority in vocational damages assessment and life care planning. Specializing in catastrophic injury cases, we are the experts in the assessment of children and adults in a wide range of cases, including personal injury, divorce, medical malpractice and labor/employment. For more than 28 years, VDI has developed a well-deserved reputation for

its unbiased expertise. The fact that both plaintiff and defense counsel routinely retain our services indicates the high level of respect VDI has on both sides of the aisle for the quality of our work. We are proud to provide unparalleled professional consulting and expert witness services to the legal and insurance communities throughout the U.S. and Canada.

[vocationaldiagnosics.com](http://vocationaldiagnosics.com)



JAMS mediators and arbitrators successfully resolve cases ranging in size, industry and complexity, typically achieving results more efficiently and cost effectively than through litigation.

JAMS neutrals are skilled in alternative dispute resolution (ADR) processes including mediation, arbitration, special master, discovery referee, project neutral, and dispute review board work.

[jamsadr.com](http://jamsadr.com)



When you partner with Braddy Investigative Group, no undertaking is too complex, and no detail too small to

merit consideration. Over the years, we've been instrumental in lending a discreet and accurate investigative approach that translates into conclusive results, which are vital to meeting the expectations of our clients.

[braddyinvgrp.com](http://braddyinvgrp.com)

## BRC

ANALYZING HOW INJURIES ARE CAUSED™

BRC specializes in the forensic analysis of how injuries are caused. Using engineering and medical science, we objectively answer two primary questions: did an injury occur and, if so, did the injury occur as alleged? In this effort, BRC employs qualified biomechanics who have MD and/or PhD degrees and extensive experience in collision investigation and injury tolerance as well as professional engineers trained in crash reconstruction. Recognizing that many are facing increasing financial pressures, BRC provides a broad range of qualified consultants to accommodate most working budgets.

[brconline.com](http://brconline.com)

# CDLA 2023-2024 BOARD

**Meredith McDonald**  
*President*  
2022 Conference Co-Chair  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP  
1700 Lincoln, St., Suite 4000  
Denver, CO 80203  
303-562-9767

**Hillary D. Patterson**  
*Treasurer*  
Patterson Ripplinger  
5613 DTC Parkway, Suite 400  
Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
303-741-4539

**Robert B. Hinckley, Jr.**  
*Treasurer*  
Buchalter Law Firm  
1624 Market Street, Suite 400  
Denver, CO 80202  
Phone: 303-253-6760

**Stephanie Montague**  
*Secretary*  
Hall & Evans, LLC  
1001 17th Street, Suite 300  
Denver, CO 80202  
Phone: 303-628-3494

**Michele Choe**  
*Immediate Past President*  
2022 Conference Co-Chair  
Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell  
370 17th St Suite 4500  
Denver, CO 80202  
303-292-2525

**Jon Olafson**  
*Racial Justice, Equity,  
Diversity Director*  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith  
1700 Lincoln, St., Suite 4000  
Denver, CO 80203  
303-562-9767

**Billy-George Hertzke**  
*P&E Director*  
SGR, LLC  
3900 E. Mexico Avenue, Suite 700  
Denver, CO 80210  
Phone: 303-320-0509

**Stuart Jorgensen**  
*At-Large Director /  
2023 Trial Academy*  
The Law Office  
of Stuart S. Jorgensen  
11080 Circle Point Road #400  
Westminster, CO 80020  
303-657-2000

**James Johnson**  
*At-Large Director*  
Godfrey Johnson, PC  
9557 S. Kingston Court  
Englewood, CO 80112  
Phone: 303-228-0700

**Justin J. Walker**  
*Greater Colorado*  
Dewhirst & Dolven  
405 S. Cascade Ave., Suite 301  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
719-520-1421

**Brandon Hull**  
*DRI Director*  
Overturf McGath & Hull, PC  
625 16th Avenue  
Denver, CO 80203  
Phone: 303-860-2848

**Emily Miller**  
*New Lawyer Director*  
Nathan, Dumm & Mayer, P.C.  
7900 East Union Avenue, Suite 600  
Denver, CO 80237  
Phone: 303-951-6607

**Ike Eckert**  
*Legislative Director*  
Hall & Evans, LLC  
1001 Seventeenth Street, Suite 300  
Denver, CO 80202  
303-628-3321

**Danielle Bergman**  
*Community Outreach Director*  
Murphy & Decker, P.C.  
730 17th Street, Suite 925  
Denver, CO 80202  
720-644-5452

**Katelyn Werner**  
*Communications Chair*  
Quintairos, Prieto,  
Wood & Boyer, P.A.  
216 16th Street, Suite 1750  
Denver, CO 80202  
Phone: 720-798-1620

**Bo and Glenna Donegan**  
*CDLA Offices*  
643 Dexter Street  
Denver, Colorado 80220  
303-263-6466